

Chief Scientist: Torres	Ph: 727.553.1169	MPC: Owen
Event #(s): 258, 288, 116	E-mail: jtorres@marine.usf.edu	Cruise #: NBP10-02

Required fields are highlighted in yellow. Click in the gray text box to enter comments.

Send completed outbrief form to marine.super@usap.gov

Planning Services	
Yes	SIP Process Met Expectations?
Comments: PolarIce is tedious, passwords are cumbersome	
Yes	RSP helpful and timely?
Comments: [Redacted]	
Yes	POC Responsive?
Comments: Many thanks to Addie Coyac, Patricia Jackson and John Evans	

Medical Services	
Yes	Kits received on time?
Comments: The Labcorp policy change - the fact that kits were not to be supplied pre-paid was not communicated to grantees, so costs were not calculated in grant, resulting in large costs to investigators.	
Yes	Questions answered?
Comments: Sometimes difficult to get anyone in Medical, but largely everyone muddled through.	

Travel Services	
Yes	TRW available and understandable?
Comments: [Redacted]	
No	Ticketing completed easily?
Comments: This was post earthquake - so there were many issues. CS felt that in general it was poorly handled, no clear and concise direction before travel dates. In any case, it seemed that the first take at an itinerary was often bad- long layovers, poor connections. In a number of cases individuals went on line, sussed out better itineraries (better connections) and relayed that back to travel. Travel was able to make those changes - why don't they look at better connections themselves? 14-16 hours in Santiago?	

Yes	Meet and assist service met requirements?
Comments:	
As always, the service in Santiago was excellent, especially with the post-earlyquake issues.	

Environmental Services	
Yes	Sample permits received okay?
Comments:	
█	
Yes	ACA permits received okay?
Comments:	
█	
Yes	Waste handling needs met?
Comments:	
█	

Equipment Availability	
Yes	Requested equipment available?
Comments:	
See MOCNESS post cruise reports. This was major piece of equipment in the cruise plan, and functional only due to a lot of work by ETs and MTs on the cruise. The visit by the MOCNESS rep was not very helpful.	
No	Damaged?
Comments:	
█	
No	Late?
Comments:	
█	
Yes	ECW gear in good condition?
Comments:	
█	
Yes	Lab space adequate?
Comments:	
█	
Yes	Remote sensing support needs met? (TeraScan, RadarSat)
Comments:	
█	

Hotel Services	
Yes	Cabins clean and neat?
Comments: [REDACTED]	
Yes	Linens clean and in good condition?
Comments: [REDACTED]	
Yes	Food quality and variety was good?
Comments: Most of the breakfast cereals are sugary - real orange juice (not nectar) would be nice; yogurt ran out halfway through trip, sandwich bread was pretty sad. Good things were fresh, bread was good, in general food was heavy on carbs, but that is a good thing for the work area.	

Research Objectives	
Yes	All accomplished? If not, please explain (weather, ice, equipment, personnel, etc.)
Comments: Ice did cause some things to be curtailed towards the end. Use of multibeam is essential.	

Future Cruises	
Yes	If returning for another cruise, are there any additional equipment or support needs your group anticipates?
Comments: The policy on multibeam use needs to be disseminated. No written policy/procedure. What is it? Not clear whether use/no use was an issue with a local individual or Raytheon or NSF.	
Yes	Anything you would like to see changed?
Comments: Ship handling - deploy nets at slower speeds. Net blowouts were common due to fast deployment speed. If ship has issue with slower speeds, de-clutch.	

Personnel Issues/Concerns	
Yes	ECO
Comments: The safety PTW system is repetitive and may defeat the purpose. Seemed mostly to consist of reminders regarding Stop Work Authority. Was more useful when planning away ops, having the bridge involved for boat following. Smoking on the bridge is a huge issue - health related problems such as asthma. Flies in the face of posted policy of ECO.	
Yes	RPSC

Personnel Issues/Concerns

Comments:

IT/multibeam operations. Didn't understand why individual was so protective/un-cooperative to the needs of the program in MB use. Didn't appear to be very busy to the science group. Bruce and Tony (Felix and D'Aoust) the best ETs this group has seen. In general, the RPSC team provided the best support anyone has ever had at sea. Just Fantastic. Back deck support and zodiac support was great; the deck team was 'always on' , always reviewed ops prior to starting (far more useful than bridge paperwork)

Other Issues

No

Diving, Zodiac, E-mail support, interaction with stations, etc.?

Comments:

The frequencies of the email transfers was helpful to the Buesseler and Fraser groups to locate and track beacons and sat tags. Zodiac ops by science party worked out fine.