	Scientist: Just Pi )	B	Huber	(Not	Ph: 845.365.8329	MPC: E Hutt
Event a	#(s): <mark>O-399</mark>				E-mail: bhuber@ldeo.columbia.edu	Cruise #: NBP0801

Required fields are highlighted in yellow. Click in the gray text box to enter comments.

## Send completed outbrief form to marine.super@usap.gov

Planning Services		
Yes	SIP Process Met Expectations?	
Comments:		
Yes	RSP helpful and timely?	
Comments:		
Well, maybe	e not timely, but this was a tough year to get things together	
Yes	POC Responsive?	
Comments:		
Kudos to S. Suhr and K. Newyear for managing to keep on top of the project in spite of all the changes, internal and external.		

Medical Services		
Yes	Kits received on time?	
Comments:		
Yes	Questions answered?	
Comments:		

Travel Services			
Yes	TRW available and understandable?		
Comments:			
No	Ticketing completed easily?		
Comments:	Comments:		
Travel waited too long to begin ticketing process - flight availability limited as a result. Connections for one of our party were too short resulting in missed flight. Hotel assignment not distributed until after departure from CONUS			
	Meet and assist service met requirements?		
Comments:			
No meet and assist in ChC so not applicable.			

**Environmental Services** 

Environmental Services		
Yes	Sample permits received okay?	
Comments:		
Many thank	s to RPSC personnel who expedited a late request for sample transshipment permit	
	ACA permits received okay?	
Comments:		
	Waste handling needs met?	
Comments:		
na		

Equipment Availability		
Yes	Requested equipment available?	
Comments:		
No	Damaged?	
Comments:		
No	Late?	
Comments:		
Yes	ECW gear in good condition?	
Comments:		
I wish they'd	rethink the ECW requirement for shipboard personnel	
Yes	Lab space adequate?	
Comments:		
Yes	Remote sensing support needs met? (TeraScan, RadarSat)	
Comments:		

Hotel Services		
Yes	Cabins clean and neat?	
Comments:		
As always, thanks to ECO staff for clean comfortable staterooms		
Yes	Linens clean and in good condition?	

# **Hotel Services**

### Comments:

### showing signs of age, but certainly adequate

Yes Food quality and variety was good?

Comments:

Excellent food this trip. Thanks to Leo, Tony, Ale and Lorenzo

# **Research Objectives**

Yes All accomplished? If not, please explain (weather, ice, equipment, personnel, etc.)

Comments:

A qualified yes. We experienced substantial delays due to weather and ice, and a premature shortening of the leg to go into McMurdo required dropping some stations which could have yielded CTD data of widespread interest.

# Future Cruises Yes If returning for another cruise, are there any additional equipment or support needs your group anticipates? Comments: Shipping equipment via Port Hueneme is cumbersome and largely unnecessary. We had one key item go astray somewhere in the system and had to manufacture a substitute on board using spares I had sent separately. One set of flloats purchased for us by RPSC and shipped via PTH turned out to have the wrong hard hat (workable but not what I ordered). This is not RPSC's or PTH's fault, but if I had purchased and shipped the flloats myself the error would have been caught and rectified. Those items purchased by RPSC and delivered to home institution were first sent to PTH, repacked, then shipped to me via FedEx, and charged to my FedEx account! Terrible waste

of time and money.

Yes Anything you would like to see changed?

Comments:

see above comments re shipping and purchasing. In future, I will budget for all required equipment and supplies in my grant.

Personnel Issues/Concerns		
No	ECO	
Comment		
Yes	RPSC	
Comment		
Travel office needs help to do the job in a timely and error free manner- otherwise, let us self-ticket.		

Oth	ner Issue	25
	Yes	Diving, Zodiac, E-mail support, interaction with stations, etc.?

# Other Issues

### Comments:

Interaction with McMurdo could be improved. Last minute changes in cruise schedules can unnecessarily disrupt shipboard science. Redeployment travel via McM/ChC is unnecessarily obtuse. I understand the need for flexibility and last minute changes, but this flexibility is compromised by having to use two (McM and ChC) travel offices, especially when return travel had not been booked completely at the outset (one of our party had return booking only to LAX - not onward to POO.)