

Chief Scientist: Kiene		Ph:	Cruise #: NBP04-09	MPC: A. Doyle
Event #: Kiene B-002; Kieber B-266; Gast B-207; Jeffrey B-200; Neale B-203; Goes B-206		E-mail: rkiene@disl.org		Date: 23 Jan 2005
Yes	No	Planning	<p>All the PIs had complaints about not being able to upload their Excel spreadsheets of supplies into POLAR ICE. They were disappointed that they could not copy their SIP from the previous year. The PIs were told that the Excel upload and the COPY function were hopefully going to be a part of version 3.</p> <p>They thought POLAR ICE was slow.</p> <p>RSP was helpful – esp. liked the supplies list.</p> <p>Steffi Suhr did a really great job. She was very quick to reply and very helpful. “She replied faster than I thought my email was updating.”</p> <p>The only problem was about some special packaging for B-207 to carry cultures down to the ship. The information that the packaging was needed was received very late (by MAF to grantee and then grantee to RPSC). This resulted in very last minute rush. In the end, the grantee received the packaging before she departed but there was a lot of running around to make it happen. Steffi was out of the office on the Friday when the packaging arrived so it was difficult for the grantee to get a hold of someone. In the future, RPSC will make an effort to give a contact number/address when someone is out of the office.</p>	
X		SIP process adequate?		
X		RSP helpful and timely?		
		POC responsive?		
		Medical		
X		Kits sent out on time?	<p>The medical process went very smoothly, but the grantees were wondering why they all had only a six-month PQ.</p>	
X		Questions answered?	<p>B-206 had some trouble with his PQ. In the end, it was just a matter of the grantee’s doctor speaking to the RPSC doctor. This was fine but it took a lot of time and effort to make this happen. It would be helpful if there were an easier option.</p>	

<p>Event #: Kiene B-002; Kieber B-266; Gast B-207; Jeffrey B-200; Neale B-203; Goes B-206 Date: 23 January 2005</p>			
<p>Yes</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Travel</p>	<p>One of the grantees needed a visa and said that RPSC was very helpful in this process.</p> <p>For the most part, travel was very helpful and easy to deal with.</p> <p>B-002 felt they should have been helped more when they were delayed out of Mobile. He felt that RPSC travel should have set-up all their travel when their ticket needed to be changed. I stressed that sometimes, once the travel is started, we do not have access to the tickets to help with the process.</p>
<p>X</p>		<p>TRW available and understandable?</p>	
		<p>Ticketing completed easily?</p>	
		<p>Meet and assist service met requirements?</p>	
		<p>Equipment Availability</p>	
<p>X</p>		<p>Requested equipment available?</p>	
	<p>X</p>	<p>Damaged?</p>	
	<p>X</p>	<p>Late?</p>	
<p>X</p>		<p>ECW gear in good condition?</p>	<p>ECW gear – there were not enough small sizes for the women.</p>

<p>Event #: Kiene B-002; Kieber B-266; Gast B-207; Jeffrey B-200; Neale B-203; Goes B-206 Date: 23 January 2005</p>			
Yes	No	Lab Space	
X		Adequate? (electrical needs, bench space, water, etc.)	<p>Spaces were good and adequate – only minor complaints:</p> <p>B-207 would like to see a CCTV set up in the Biolab. The aft drylab sinks (inboard wall) are very, very slow).</p> <p>De-ionized (DI) water systems were heavily used and worked well.</p> <p>They would like more refrigerator space in the labs.</p>
X		Remote Sensing support needs met? (QFax, Terascan, etc.)	<p>TeraScan – the resolution seems to have gotten worse from last year.</p>
		Quality of Hotel Services	
X		Cabins clean and neat?	
X		Linens clean and in good condition?	
	X	Food quality and variety was good?	<p>Grantees appreciated the non-sweet snacks (e.g. peanuts, almonds). Please keep this up and expand it if possible (maybe some cheeses).</p> <p>Galley crew worked hard but it was felt that their starting ingredients were not of very high quality making it difficult to produce excellent meals.</p> <p>Overall, the food was much better than other cruises.</p>

Event #: Kiene B-002; Kieber B-266; Gast B-207; Jeffrey B-200; Neale B-203; Goes B-206 Date: 23 January 2005		
	Personnel Review ECO RSPC	<p>ECO did a great job supporting the science. They handled the ship well, making deployments and recoveries easy. Their winch operators were very good. They were great about the various winch regimes (esp. Fast Repetition Rate Fluorometer).</p> <p>Everyone did their best to accommodate the science.</p> <p>RPSC crew was really great too. Everyone went out of their way to help. They could fix or fabricate just about anything.</p> <p>TJ Hurlburt was an excellent machinist and saved the grantees some money in parts when he machined various parts for their equipment.</p>
	Safe Practices Please give us your feedback about safety onboard this USAP vessel. Please give as much detail as possible.	<p>RPSC seems to have a heightened sense of safety during deployments and recoveries. They are more conscience in the safety of everyone on the deck.</p>

<p>Event #: Kiene B-002; Kieber B-266; Gast B-207; Jeffrey B-200; Neale B-203; Goes B-206 Date: 23 January 2005</p>		
<p>Yes</p> <p>X</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Research Objectives</p> <p>All accomplished? If not, please explain (weather, ice, equipment, personnel).</p> <p>Kiene: Everyone felt it was a really productive cruise and it would have been difficult to do more. There was a learning curve science-wise but that was expected.</p> <p>Jeffrey: They produced 50% more samples than expected and it was one of the most successful cruises they have done.</p> <p>Neale: They were so successful they were able to do things they did not even expect to do.</p>
<p>X</p>	<p>Future Cruises</p> <p>If returning for another cruise, are there any additional equipment or support needs your group anticipates?</p>	<p>Ultraviolet transparent (UVT) lids for Jeffrey Incubator are cracked – please have these fixed. They may need to be thicker.</p> <p>The fire alarms in the lab vans were constantly a problem. It would be great if this is sorted out by next cruise.</p> <p>Fluoromax spectrofluorometer—there was the initial problem that occurred again during the cruise.</p> <p>PUV/GUV – needs a better computer. The current processor cannot keep up with the sampling rate.</p>
<p>X</p>	<p>Anything you would like to see changed?</p>	<p>Nikon Scope – it would be great to have a split lightbeam ocular head to allow the user to look through the scope and the camera/computer at the same time. The grid reticule is not the right size for the eyepiece.</p> <p>Zeiss scope is off-centered and grantees would like it repaired for next year.</p> <p>One of the Percival incubators is failing and needs to be repaired or replaced.</p> <p>Note: SR-18 radiometer cable was left strung up the mast for next</p>

<p>Event #: Kiene B-002; Kieber B-266; Gast B-207; Jeffrey B-200; Neale B-203; Goes B-206 Date: 23 January 2005</p>		
<p>Yes</p> <p style="text-align: center;">X</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>Other Issues? Diving, Zodiac, E-mail support, interactions with stations, etc.</p> <p>The PIs did not like the Cajun Cruncher maintenance right over the Baltic Room. The maintenance was stopped during science operations, but the scientists would have liked to see it stopped altogether. The between-deployment maintenance operations were agreed upon by the MPC and Captain with the thought that this was OK with the science team.</p> <p>The Chief Scientist felt he was not informed enough. The MPC will take the blame on this too. This is the first she heard of the problem.</p> <p>There are various doors around the ship that need some maintenance (TLC). A few that came out were: the inboard hydrolab door (b/w hydrolab and wetlab), the helo-hanger man-door.</p>

Event #: Kiene B-002; Kieber B-266; Gast B-207; Jeffrey B-200; Neale B-203; Goes B-206
Date: 23 January 2005

Additional Comments/Overflow:

Neale was very happy about how the helo operations came together and his isotope was delivered.

The 24-hour turnaround on the Rad permit extension for both Jeffrey and Neal was great.